

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/092,955	0/092,955 03/06/2002		William L. Rohr	022719-0022	7356		
21125	7590	03/03/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
		IEN & FISH LLP	MAIORIN	MAIORINO, ROZ			
WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON, MA 02210-2604			3763	13			
				DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
i ·	10/092,955	ROHR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Roz Maiorino	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			ress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 I	November 2003.						
,	s action is non-final.	•					
	the state of the s						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat onty documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	/ (РТО-413) ate					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			-152)				

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1, 3-8, 10,12, 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Pub No. 2002/01833800 A1 to Schmidt et al.



Art Unit: 3763

Schmidt does.

Schmidt teaches a delivery system with a delivery pump a delivery conduit connected to the pump, a sensor implantable within a subject and a control unit, in communication with the sensor and the pump wherein the control unit receives the sensor output signal and communicates a delivery signal to the pump to deliver the drug at a rate and for a duration effective to achieve a desired biochemical parameter within a predetermined range. The control unit compiles and communicates the data. (Paragraph 0081, 0088)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 9, 11, 13, 14-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6340588 to Nova et al , and further in view of US Patent NO. 2002/0183800 to Schmidt.

Nova teaches a delivery system with a delivery pump a delivery conduit connected to the pump, a sensor implantable within a subject and a control unit, in communication with the sensor and the pump wherein the control unit receives the sensor output signal and communicates a delivery signal to the pump to deliver the drug at a rate and for a duration effective to achieve a desired biochemical parameter within a predetermined range.(Col. 137, Lines 25-45, Col. 140-141, lines 65-66, lines1-30)

Nova does not teach control unit compiles and communicates the data, however

Art Unit: 3763

Therefore it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the two reference, because the control unit in Schmidt allows for a more accurate drug delivery.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roz Maiorino whose telephone number is 703-305-2336. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3763

RM

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700